arXiv:1701.08740v1 [cs.IT] 30 Jan 2017

ON THE LATTICE OF CYCLIC LINEAR CODES OVER FINITE CHAIN RINGS

ALEXANDRE FOTUE TABUE AND CHRISTOPHE MOUAHA

ABSTRACT. Let $\mathtt{R}$ be a commutative finite chain ring of invariants (q,s). In this paper, the trace representation of any free cyclic $\mathtt{R}$-linear code of length ℓ, is presented, via the q-cyclotomic cosets modulo ℓ, when $\gcd(\ell,q)=1$. The lattice $\langle \mathtt{Cy}(\mathtt{R},\ell);+,\cap\rangle$ of cyclic $\mathtt{R}$-linear codes of length ℓ, is investigated. A lower bound on the Hamming distance of cyclic $\mathtt{R}$-linear codes of length ℓ, is established. When q is even, a family of MDS and self-orthogonal $\mathtt{R}$-linear cyclic codes, is constructed.

1. INTRODUCTION

Research on linear codes over chain rings can be found in [7, 11] and cyclic linear codes were among the first codes practically used and they play a very significant role in coding theory. For instance, cyclic codes can be efficiently encoded using shift registers. Many important codes such as the Golay codes, Hamming codes and BCH codes can be represented as cyclic codes. Cyclic linear codes have been studied for decades and a lot of progress has been made (see, for example, [13]).

Let $\mathtt{R}$ be finite chain ring with invariant (q,s), and ℓ a positive integer such that $\gcd(q,\ell)=1$. An $\mathtt{R}$-*linear code* of length ℓ is an $\mathtt{R}$-submodule of $\mathtt{R}^\ell$. An $\mathtt{R}$-linear code $\mathscr{C}$ of length ℓ is *cyclic* if for every codeword $(\mathbf{c}_0,\mathbf{c}_1,\cdots,\mathbf{c}_{\ell-1})$ in $\mathscr{C}$ then the word $(\mathbf{c}_{\ell-1},\mathbf{c}_0,\cdots,\mathbf{c}_{\ell-2})$ also belongs to $\mathscr{C}$. For example, the zero module $\{\underline{\mathbf{0}}\}$, the trivial $\mathtt{R}$-linear code $\mathtt{R}^\ell$ and the repetition code $\mathbf{1}:=\{(\mathbf{c},\mathbf{c},\cdots,\mathbf{c}) : \mathbf{c}\in\mathtt{R}\}$ are cyclic $\mathtt{R}$-linear codes of length ℓ.

As usual, the $\mathtt{R}$-module isomorphism

$$\begin{array}{rccc}\Psi: & \mathtt{R}^\ell & \to & \mathtt{R}[X]/(X^\ell-1)\\ & (\mathbf{c}_0,\mathbf{c}_1,\cdots,\mathbf{c}_{\ell-1}) & \mapsto & \mathbf{c}_0+\mathbf{c}_1X+\cdots+\mathbf{c}_{\ell-1}X^{\ell-1}+(X^\ell-1)\end{array} \tag{1}$$

is used to identify the cyclic $\mathtt{R}$-linear codes of length ℓ with ideals of the ring $\mathtt{R}[X]/(X^\ell-1)$ and $(X^\ell-1)$ is the ideal of $\mathtt{R}[X]$ generated by $X^\ell-1$. The $\mathtt{R}$-module $\Psi(\mathscr{C})$ is called *polynomial representation* of the $\mathtt{R}$-linear code $\mathscr{C}$. This polynomial representation of cyclic linear codes is used by Calderbank and Sloane in [3], by Kanwar and López-Permouth [8], for study the structure of cyclic linear codes over $\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}$. Wan [12] extended these results to cyclic linear codes over Galois rings. Norton and Sălăgean [11] and Dinh and López-Permouth [5], in turn, extended the results of [3] and [8] to cyclic linear codes over finite chain rings.

We denote by $\mathtt{Cy}(\mathtt{R},\ell)$, the set of all cyclic $\mathtt{R}$-linear codes of length ℓ. We equip $\mathtt{Cy}(\mathtt{R},\ell)$ of binary operations as: $+$, and $\cap$. When $s=1$ and $\gcd(q,\ell)=1$, it is widely known that $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$ is a domaine ideal ring. Hence the lattice of ideals of $\mathbb{F}_q[X]/(X^\ell-1)$ is distributive. By the polynomial representation, it follows that for every $\mathscr{C}\in\mathtt{Cy}(\mathbb{F}_q,\ell)$, there exists a unique monic divisor g of $X^\ell-1$ in $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$ such that $\Psi(\mathscr{C})=\langle g\rangle$, where $\langle g\rangle:=(g)/(X^\ell-1)$, and g is called the *generator polynomial* of $\mathscr{C}$, and

$$\Psi^{-1}(\langle g_1\rangle)\cap\Psi^{-1}(\langle g_2\rangle)=\Psi^{-1}(\langle \mathtt{lcm}(g_1,g_2)\rangle) \text{ and } \Psi^{-1}(\langle g_1\rangle)+\Psi^{-1}(\langle g_2\rangle)=\Psi^{-1}(\langle \gcd(g_1,g_2)\rangle), \tag{2}$$

for all monic divisors g_1 and g_2 of $X^\ell-1$ in $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$. Hence, the lattice $\left\langle \mathtt{Cy}(\mathbb{F}_q,\ell);+,\cap;\{\underline{\mathbf{0}}\},\mathbb{F}_q^\ell\right\rangle$ is distributive. In the general case $s\neq 1$, the lattice $\langle \mathtt{Cy}(\mathtt{R},\ell);+,\cap\rangle$ is little known. In [11], Norton and Sălăgean, describe the generating set in standard form of any cyclic $\mathtt{R}$-linear code of length ℓ. The objective of this paper is to develop another approach of construction of cyclic linear codes in case the

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13B05; 94B15; 03G10; 16P10.

Key words and phrases. Finite Chain Rings, Cyclotomic cosets, Linear Codes, Cyclic Codes, Trace Map, Finite Lattices.

length of the code is coprime to the characteristic of the finite chain ring. Fundamental theory of this approach will be developed, and will be employed to construct any cyclic linear code. BCH-bound of any cyclic linear code will be also established.

The paper is organized as follows: Some definitions and results about the finite chain rings and Galois extension of finite chain rings, are recalled in Section 2. Section 3 discusses the notions of the type of any linear code over a finite chain ring. In Section 4 the set of cyclotomic partitions is investigated. In Section 5, the trace-description of free cyclic linear codes over finite chain rings is presented. A lattice of cyclic linear codes is studied in Section 6.

2. Background on finite chain rings

Throughout of this section, $\mathtt{R}$ is a finite local ring with identity, $\mathtt{J}(\mathtt{R})$ denotes the maximal ideal of $\mathtt{R}$, and $\mathscr{M}_{u\times\ell}(\mathtt{R})$ denotes the set of all $u\times\ell$ -matrices over $\mathtt{R}$ for all $1\leq u\leq\ell$.

Definition 2.1. *A finite local ring* $\mathtt{R}$ *with identity is called a* finite chain ring of invariants (q,s) *if*

(1) $\mathtt{J}(\mathtt{R})$ *is principal,* $\mathtt{R}/\mathtt{J}(\mathtt{R})\simeq\mathbb{F}_q$, *and;*
(2) $\mathtt{R}\supsetneq\mathtt{R}\theta\supsetneq\cdots\supsetneq\mathtt{R}\theta^{s-1}\supsetneq\mathtt{R}\theta^{s}=\{0_{\mathtt{R}}\}$ *where* θ *is a generator of* $\mathtt{J}(\mathtt{R})$.

For example, for every positive integer s,n,p and p prime, the ring $\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}$, is a finite chain ring of invariants (p,s), and the ring $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}[\theta]$ with $\theta^s=0_{\mathtt{R}}$ and $\theta^{s-1}\neq 0_{\mathtt{R}}$, is a finite chain ring of invariants (p^n,s).

Let $\mathtt{R}$ be a finite chain ring of invariants (q,s) and θ be a generator of $\mathtt{J}(\mathtt{R})$. The ring epimorphism

$$\begin{array}{rccc}\pi: & \mathtt{R} & \to & \mathbb{F}_q\\ & \mathbf{c} & \mapsto & \mathbf{c}(\mathtt{mod}\,\theta)\end{array}$$

naturally extends to $\mathtt{R}[X]$, of the following way: $\pi(\sum\mathbf{a}_iX^i)=\sum\pi(\mathbf{a}_i)X^i$ and $\mathscr{M}_{u\times\ell}(\mathtt{R})$ of the following way: π acts on all the coefficients of any polynomial (resp. matrix) over $\mathtt{R}$. Let $\mathtt{R}^\times$ be the multiplicative group of units of $\mathtt{R}$. Obviously, the cardinality of $\mathtt{R}^\times$ is $q^{(s-1)}(q-1)$. It follows that $\mathtt{R}^\times\simeq\Gamma(\mathtt{R})^*\times(1+\mathtt{J}(\mathtt{R}))$ with $\Gamma(\mathtt{R})^*=\{\mathbf{b}\in\mathtt{R}:\mathbf{b}\neq 0_{\mathtt{R}},\mathbf{b}^q=\mathbf{b}\}$, and $\Gamma(\mathtt{R})^*$ is a cyclic subgroup of $\mathtt{R}^\times$, of order $q-1$. The set $\Gamma(\mathtt{R})=\Gamma(\mathtt{R})^*\cup\{0_{\mathtt{R}}\}$ is called the *Teichmüller set* of $\mathtt{R}$.

We say that the ring $\mathtt{S}$ is an *extension* of $\mathtt{R}$ and we denote it by $\mathtt{S}|\mathtt{R}$ if $\mathtt{R}$ a subring of $\mathtt{S}$ and $1_{\mathtt{R}}=1_{\mathtt{S}}$. We denote by $\mathtt{rank}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathtt{S})$, the rank of $\mathtt{R}$-module $\mathtt{S}$. Let $f\in\mathtt{R}[X]$ of degree m and (f) is an ideal of $\mathtt{R}[X]$ generated by f. Let f be a monic polynomial over $\mathtt{R}$ of degree m. We say that f is *basic irreducible*(resp. basic primitive) if $\pi(f)$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{F}_q$ (resp. primitive).

Definition 2.2. *Let* $\mathtt{R}$ *be a finite chain ring of invariants* (q,s). *A finite ring* $\mathtt{S}$ *is a* Galois extension *of* $\mathtt{R}$ *of degree* m, *if* $\mathtt{S}\simeq\mathtt{R}[\alpha]$, *(as* $\mathtt{R}$*-algebras) where* α *is a root of a monic basic primitive polynomial over* $\mathtt{R}$ *of degree* m.

Remark 1. *Let* $\mathtt{R}$ *be a finite chain ring of invariants* (q,s) *and* $\mathtt{S}$ *is a Galois extension of* $\mathtt{R}$ *of degree* m. *Let* ξ *be a generator of* $\Gamma(\mathtt{S})\setminus\{0_{\mathtt{S}}\}$. *Then* $\mathtt{S}$ *is also a finite chain ring of invariants* (q^m,s) *and* $\mathtt{S}=\mathtt{R}[\xi]$.

We denote by $\mathtt{Aut}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathtt{S})$, the group of ring automorphisms of $\mathtt{S}$ which fix the elements of $\mathtt{R}$.

Theorem 1. [4, Chap III.; Proposition 2.1(1)] *Let* $\mathtt{R}$ *be a finite chain ring of invariants* (q,s). *The ring* $\mathtt{S}$ *is a Galois extension of* $\mathtt{R}$ *of degree* m *if and only if* $\mathtt{R}=\{\boldsymbol{a}\in\mathtt{S}:\sigma(\boldsymbol{a})=\boldsymbol{a}\ \text{for all}\ \sigma\in\mathtt{Aut}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathtt{S})\}$ *and* $\mathtt{J}(\mathtt{S})=\mathtt{S}\mathtt{J}(\mathtt{R})$.

For example, for positive integers p,n,s and p prime, the Galois extension of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}$ of degree n, is the Galois ring $\mathtt{GR}(p^s,n)\simeq\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}[X]/(f)$, where f is a monic basic irreducible over $\mathtt{R}$, of degree n.

Proposition 1. [10, Theorem XV.10] *Let* $\mathtt{R}$ *be a finite chain ring of invariants* (q,s) *and* $\mathtt{S}$ *be the Galois extension of* $\mathtt{R}$ *of degree* m. *Let* ξ *be a generator of* $\Gamma(\mathtt{S})\setminus\{0_{\mathtt{S}}\}$. *Then*

(1) $\mathtt{S}$ *is a free* $\mathtt{R}$*-module with free* $\mathtt{R}$*-basis* $\{1,\xi,\cdots,\xi^{m-1}\}$;
(2) $\mathtt{Aut}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathtt{S})$ *is a cyclic group of order* m, *and a generator of* $\mathtt{Aut}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathtt{S})$ *is given by* $\sigma:\xi\mapsto\xi^q$.

Definition 2.3. *Let* $\mathtt{S}|\mathtt{R}$ *be the Galois extension of finite chain rings of degree* m *and* σ *be a generator of* $\mathtt{Aut}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathtt{S})$. *The map* $\mathtt{Tr}_{\mathtt{R}}^{\mathtt{S}} := \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sigma^i$, *is called the* trace map *of* $\mathtt{S}|\mathtt{R}$.

Theorem 2. [4, Chap III.;Corollary 2.2] *Let* $\mathtt{S}|\mathtt{R}$ *be the Galois extension of finite chain rings. Then* $\mathtt{Tr}_{\mathtt{R}}^{\mathtt{S}}$ *is a generator of* $\mathtt{S}$*-module* $\mathtt{Hom}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathtt{S},\mathtt{R})$.

Proposition 2. *Let* $\mathtt{R}$ *be a finite chain ring of invariants* (q,s) *and* $\mathtt{S}$ *be the Galois extension of* $\mathtt{R}$ *of degree* m. *Then for every positive integer* z, *for every generator* ξ *of* $\Gamma(\mathtt{S})$, *the ring* $\mathtt{R}[\xi^{zq}]$ *is the Galois extension of* $\mathtt{R}$ *of degree* m_z, *where* $m_z := \mathtt{min}\{i \in \mathbb{N}\setminus\{0\} : zq^i \equiv 1 \,(\mathtt{mod}\,(q^m-1))\}$.

Proof. We set $f := (X-\xi^{zq})(X-\xi^{zq^2})\cdots(X-\xi^{zq^{m_z}})$. Since $\mathtt{S}|\mathtt{R}$ is Galois extension, we deduce by Theorem 1, that $f \in \mathtt{R}[X]$ and $\pi(f)$ is irreducible. Hence f is a basic irreducible polynomial over $\mathtt{R}$ and the degree of f is m_z. It follows that $\mathtt{R}[\xi^{zq}]$ is a Galois extension of $\mathtt{R}$ of degree m_z, because by Definition 2.2, ξ^{zq} is a root of a basic irreducible polynomial over $\mathtt{R}$ of degree of m_z. □

3. Linear codes over finite chain rings

For this section, $\mathtt{R}$ is a finite chain ring of invariants (q,s), and θ is a generator of maximal ideal $\mathtt{J}(\mathtt{R})$. The ring epimorphism $\pi : \mathtt{R} \to \mathbb{F}_q$ naturally extends to $\mathtt{R}^\ell$ of the following way: $\pi(\underline{\mathbf{c}}) := (\pi(\mathbf{c}_0),\pi(\mathbf{c}_1),\cdots,\pi(\mathbf{c}_{\ell-1}))$, for every $\underline{\mathbf{c}} := (\mathbf{c}_0,\mathbf{c}_1,\cdots,\mathbf{c}_{\ell-1}) \in \mathtt{R}^\ell$. Recall that an $\mathtt{R}$-linear code of length ℓ is an $\mathtt{R}$-submodule of $\mathtt{R}^\ell$. We say that an $\mathtt{R}$-linear code is *free* if it is free as $\mathtt{R}$-module.

3.1. **Type and rank of a linear code.** An $k\times\ell$-matrix G over $\mathtt{R}$, is called a *generator matrix* for $\mathscr{C}$ if the rows of G span $\mathscr{C}$ and none of them can be written as an $\mathtt{R}$-linear combination of other rows of G. We say that G is a generator matrix in *standard form* if

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} I_{k_0} & G_{0,1} & G_{0,2} & \cdots & G_{0,s-1} & G_{0,s} \\ 0 & \theta I_{k_1} & \theta G_{1,2} & \cdots & \theta G_{1,s-1} & \theta G_{1,s} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \theta^{s-1} I_{k_{s-1}} & \theta^{s-1} G_{s-1,s} \end{pmatrix} U, \tag{3}$$

where U is a suitable permutation matrix and $G_{t,j} \in \mathscr{M}_{k_t\times k_j}(\mathtt{R})$. The s-tuple $(k_0,k_1,\cdots,k_{s-1})$ is called *type* of G and $\mathtt{rank}(G) = k_0+k_1+\cdots+k_{s-1}$ is the *rank* of G.

Proposition 3. *(*[11, Proposition 3.2, Theorem 3.5]*) Each* $\mathtt{R}$*-linear code* $\mathscr{C}$ *admits a generator matrix* $\mathtt{G}$ *standard form. Moreover, the type is the same for any generator matrix in standard form for* $\mathscr{C}$.

Definition 3.1. *Let* $\mathscr{C}$ *be an* $\mathtt{R}$*-linear code and* G *be a generator matrix* $\mathtt{G}$ *standard form. The rows of* G *form an* $\mathtt{R}$*-basis for* $\mathscr{C}$, *so-called* standard $\mathtt{R}$*-basis for* $\mathscr{C}$.

So the type and the rank are the invariants of $\mathscr{C}$, and henceforth we have the following definition.

Definition 3.2. *Let* $\mathscr{C}$ *be an* $\mathtt{R}$*-linear code.*

(1) *The* type *of* $\mathscr{C}$ *is the type of a generator matrix of* $\mathscr{C}$ *in standard form.*
(2) *The* rank *of* $\mathscr{C}$, *denoted* $\mathtt{rank}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathscr{C})$, *is the rank of a generator matrix of* $\mathscr{C}$ *in standard form.*

Obviously, any $\mathtt{R}$-linear code $\mathscr{C}$ of length ℓ of type $(k_0,k_1,\cdots,k_{s-1})$ is free if and only if the rank of $\mathscr{C}$ is k_0, and $k_1 = k_2 = \cdots = k_{s-1} = 0$. It defines the scalar product on $\mathtt{R}^\ell$ by: $\underline{\mathbf{a}}\cdot\underline{\mathbf{b}}^{\mathtt{T}} := \sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1} \mathbf{a}_i\mathbf{b}_i$, where $\underline{\mathbf{b}}^{\mathtt{T}}$ is the transpose of $\underline{\mathbf{b}}$. Let $\mathscr{C}$ be an $\mathtt{R}$-linear code of length ℓ. The dual code of $\mathscr{C}$, denoted $\mathscr{C}^\perp$, is an $\mathtt{R}$-linear code of length ℓ, is defined by: $\mathscr{C}^\perp := \left\{\underline{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathtt{R}^\ell : \underline{\mathbf{a}}\cdot\underline{\mathbf{b}}^{\mathtt{T}} = 0_{\mathtt{R}} \text{ for all } \underline{\mathbf{b}} \in \mathscr{C}\right\}$. A generator matrix of $\mathscr{C}^\perp$, is called parity-check matrix of $\mathscr{C}$. We recall that an $\mathtt{R}$-linear code $\mathscr{C}$ is *self-orthogonal* if $\mathscr{C} \subseteq \mathscr{C}^\perp$. An $\mathtt{R}$-linear code $\mathscr{C}$ is *self-dual* if $\mathscr{C} = \mathscr{C}^\perp$.

Proposition 4. *(*[7, Theorem 3.1]*) Let* $\mathscr{C}$ *and* $\mathscr{C}'$ *be* $\mathtt{R}$*-linear codes of length* ℓ. *Then* $(\mathscr{C}+\mathscr{C}')^\perp = \mathscr{C}^\perp \cap \mathscr{C}'^\perp$, $(\mathscr{C}\cap\mathscr{C}')^\perp = \mathscr{C}^\perp + \mathscr{C}'^\perp$, *and* $(\mathscr{C}^\perp)^\perp = \mathscr{C}$.

Proposition 5. *([11, Theorem 3.10]) Let $\mathscr{C}$ be an $\mathtt{R}$-linear code of length ℓ, of type $(k_0,k_1,\cdots,k_{s-1})$. Then*

(1) *the type of $\mathscr{C}^{\perp}$ is $(\ell-k,k_{s-1},\cdots,k_1)$, where $k:=k_0+k_1+\cdots+k_{s-1}$.*

(2) $|\mathscr{C}|=q^{\sum_{t=0}^{s-1}(s-t)k_t}$, *where $|\mathscr{C}|$ denotes the number of elements of $\mathscr{C}$.*

Definition 3.3. *Let $\mathtt{R}$ be a finite chain ring of invariants (q,s) and θ be a generator of $\mathtt{J}(\mathtt{R})$. Let $\mathscr{C}$ be an $\mathtt{R}$-linear code of rank k.*

(1) *The $\mathtt{R}$-linear subcode $\mathtt{Annih}_{\mathscr{C}}(\theta):=\{\underline{\mathbf{c}}\in\mathscr{C}\,:\,\theta\underline{\mathbf{c}}=\underline{\mathbf{0}}\}$, of $\mathscr{C}$, is called the* annihilator *of $\mathscr{C}$.*

(2) *The* residue code *of $\mathscr{C}$ is the $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear code $\pi(\mathscr{C}):=\{\pi(\underline{\mathbf{c}})\,:\,\underline{\mathbf{c}}\in\mathscr{C}\}$.*

Remark 2. *Let $\mathscr{C}$ be an $\mathtt{R}$-linear code with generator matrix G, as in (3). Then a generator matrix of $\mathtt{Annih}_{\mathscr{C}}(\theta)$ is*

$$\theta^{s-1}\begin{pmatrix} I_{k_0} & G_{0,1} & G_{0,2} & \cdots & G_{0,s-1} & G_{0,s}\\ 0 & I_{k_1} & G_{1,2} & \cdots & G_{1,s-1} & G_{1,s}\\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & I_{k_{s-1}} & G_{s-1,s}\end{pmatrix}U.$$

The *Hamming distance* of an $\mathtt{R}$-linear code $\mathscr{C}$ of length ℓ, is defined as:

$$\mathtt{wt}(\mathscr{C}):=\mathtt{min}\{\mathtt{wt}(\underline{\mathbf{c}}):\underline{\mathbf{c}}\in\mathscr{C},\underline{\mathbf{c}}\neq\underline{\mathbf{0}}\},$$

where $\mathtt{wt}(\mathbf{c}_0,\mathbf{c}_1,\cdots,\mathbf{c}_{\ell-1}):=|\{j\in\Sigma_\ell\,:\,\mathbf{c}_j\neq 0_{\mathtt{R}}\}|$.

Theorem 3. *Let $\mathscr{C}$ be an $\mathtt{R}$-linear code. Then $\mathtt{wt}(\mathscr{C})=\mathtt{wt}(\mathtt{Annih}_{\mathscr{C}}(\theta))$.*

Proof. We set $\mathscr{A}:=\mathtt{Annih}_{\mathscr{C}}(\theta)$. Let $\underline{\mathbf{c}}$ in $\mathscr{C}$ with $\mathtt{wt}(\underline{\mathbf{c}})=\mathtt{wt}(\mathscr{C})$. Let t be the least positive integer such that $\theta^t\underline{\mathbf{c}}=\underline{\mathbf{0}}$. Then $t\neq 0$ and $\theta^{t-1}\underline{\mathbf{c}}\in\mathscr{A}\backslash\{\underline{\mathbf{0}}\}$. Hence we must have: $\mathtt{wt}(\mathscr{A})\leq\mathtt{wt}(\theta^{t-1}\underline{\mathbf{c}})\leq\mathtt{wt}(\underline{\mathbf{c}})=\mathtt{wt}(\mathscr{A})$. Hence the equality $\mathtt{wt}(\mathscr{C})=\mathtt{wt}(\mathscr{A})$. □

Corollary 1. *Let $\mathscr{C}$ be a free $\mathtt{R}$-linear codes with generator matrix in standard form G, and $G\in\mathscr{M}_{k\times\ell}(\Gamma(\mathtt{R}))$. Then $\mathtt{wt}(\pi(\mathscr{C}))=\mathtt{wt}(\mathscr{C})$ and $\pi(G)$ is a generator matrix in standard form for $\pi(\mathscr{C})$.*

Example 3.1. *Let $\mathscr{C}$ be the $\mathbb{Z}_8$-linear code with generator matrix* $\begin{pmatrix}1&1&3&4&0&5\\0&2&2&6&4&0\\0&0&4&0&4&4\end{pmatrix}$. *The matrix G is in standard form. So the type of $\mathscr{C}$ is $(1,1,1)$. By Remark 2, a generator matrix in standard form of $\mathscr{A}:=\mathtt{Annih}_{\mathscr{C}}(2)$ is* $\begin{pmatrix}4&0&0&4&0&4\\0&4&0&4&4&4\\0&0&4&0&4&4\end{pmatrix}$. *So by Theorem 3, we have $\mathtt{wt}(\mathscr{C})=3$.*

3.2. **Galois closure of a linear code over a finite chain ring.** Let $\mathscr{B}$ be an $\mathtt{S}$-linear code of length ℓ. Then $\sigma(\mathscr{B}):=\{(\sigma(\mathbf{c}_0),\cdots,\sigma(\mathbf{c}_{\ell-1}))\,:\,(\mathbf{c}_0,\cdots,\mathbf{c}_{\ell-1})\in\mathscr{B}\}$ is also an $\mathtt{S}$-linear code of length ℓ. We say that the $\mathtt{S}$-linear code $\mathscr{B}$ is called *σ-invariant* if $\sigma(\mathscr{B})=\mathscr{B}$. The *subring subcode* of $\mathscr{B}$ to $\mathtt{R}$, is an $\mathtt{R}$-linear code $\mathtt{Res}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathscr{B}):=\mathscr{B}\cap\mathtt{R}^\ell$, and the *trace code* of $\mathscr{B}$ over $\mathtt{R}$, is the $\mathtt{R}$-linear code

$$\mathtt{Tr}^{\mathtt{S}}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathscr{B}):=\left\{\left(\mathtt{Tr}^{\mathtt{S}}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathbf{c}_0),\cdots,\mathtt{Tr}^{\mathtt{S}}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathbf{c}_{\ell-1})\right)\,:\,(\mathbf{c}_0,\cdots,\mathbf{c}_{\ell-1})\in\mathscr{B}\right\}.$$

It is clear that $\mathtt{Tr}^{\mathtt{S}}_{\mathtt{R}}(\sigma(\mathscr{B}))=\mathtt{Tr}^{\mathtt{S}}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathscr{B})$. The *extension code* of an $\mathtt{R}$-linear code $\mathscr{C}$ to $\mathtt{S}$, is the $\mathtt{S}$-linear code $\mathtt{Ext}_{\mathtt{S}}(\mathscr{C})$, formed by taking all combinations of codewords of $\mathscr{C}$. The following theorem generalizes Delsarte's celebrated result (see [13, Ch.7.§8. Theorem 11.]).

Theorem 4. *([9, Theorem 3]). Let $\mathscr{B}$ be an $\mathtt{S}$-linear code then $\mathtt{Tr}^{\mathtt{S}}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathscr{B}^{\perp})=\mathtt{Res}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathscr{B})^{\perp}$, where $\mathscr{B}^{\perp}$ is the dual to $\mathscr{B}$ with respect to the usual scalar product, and $\mathtt{Res}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathscr{B})^{\perp}$ is the dual of $\mathtt{Res}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathscr{B})$ in $\mathtt{R}^\ell$.*

Definition 3.4. *Let $\mathscr{B}$ be an $\mathtt{S}$-linear code. The σ-*closure *of $\mathscr{B}$, is the smallest σ-invariant $\mathtt{S}$-linear code $\widetilde{\mathscr{B}}$, containing $\mathscr{B}$.*

Proposition 6. *Let $\mathscr{B}$ be an $\mathtt{S}$-linear code. Then $\widetilde{\mathscr{B}} = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sigma^i(\mathscr{B})$ and $\mathtt{Tr}_{\mathtt{R}}^{\mathtt{S}}(\mathscr{B}) = \mathtt{Tr}_{\mathtt{R}}^{\mathtt{S}}(\widetilde{\mathscr{B}})$.*

Proof. We have $\mathscr{B} \subseteq \widetilde{\mathscr{B}}$ and $\sigma(\widetilde{\mathscr{B}}) = \widetilde{\mathscr{B}}$, by Definition 3.4 of $\widetilde{\mathscr{B}}$. So $\sigma^i(\mathscr{B}) \subseteq \widetilde{\mathscr{B}}$, for all $i \in \{0,1,\cdots,m-1\}$. Hence $\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sigma^i(\mathscr{B}) \subseteq \widetilde{\mathscr{B}}$. Since $\sigma\left(\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sigma^i(\mathscr{B})\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sigma^i(\mathscr{B})$ and $\mathscr{B} \subseteq \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sigma^i(\mathscr{B})$, as $\widetilde{\mathscr{B}}$ is the smallest $\mathtt{S}$-linear code containing $\mathscr{B}$, which is σ-invariant, it follows $\widetilde{\mathscr{B}} \subseteq \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sigma^i(\mathscr{B})$. Hence $\widetilde{\mathscr{B}} = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sigma^i(\mathscr{B})$. Thanks to [9, Proposition 1.], $\mathtt{Tr}_{\mathtt{R}}^{\mathtt{S}}(\widetilde{\mathscr{B}}) = \mathtt{Tr}_{\mathtt{R}}^{\mathtt{S}}(\mathscr{B})$. □

The following Theorem summarizes the obtained results in [9].

Theorem 5. *Let $\mathscr{B}$ be an $\mathtt{S}$-linear code and σ be a generator of $\mathtt{Aut}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathtt{S})$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(1) *$\mathscr{B}$ is σ-invariant;*
(2) $\mathtt{Tr}_{\mathtt{R}}^{\mathtt{S}}(\mathscr{B}) = \mathtt{Res}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathscr{B})$;
(3) *$\mathscr{B}$, and $\mathtt{Res}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathscr{B})$ have the same type;*
(4) $\mathtt{Res}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathscr{B})^{\perp} = \mathtt{Res}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathscr{B}^{\perp})$.

Proof. Let $\mathscr{B}$ be an $\mathtt{S}$-linear code.

1. $\Leftrightarrow$ 2.: Thanks to [9, Theorem 2].
1. $\Leftrightarrow$ 3.: Since any $\mathtt{R}$-basis of $\mathtt{Res}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathscr{B})$ is also an $\mathtt{S}$-basis of $\mathtt{Ext}_{\mathtt{S}}(\mathtt{Res}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathscr{B}))$. Thanks to [9, Theorem 1], we deduce that $\mathscr{B} = \mathtt{Ext}_{\mathtt{S}}\left(\mathtt{Tr}_{\mathtt{R}}^{\mathtt{S}}(\mathscr{B})\right)$ if and only if $\mathscr{B}$ and $\mathtt{Res}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathscr{B})$ have the same type.
2. $\Leftrightarrow$ 4.: By Delsarte's Theorem, we have $\mathtt{Res}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathscr{B}^{\perp}) = \mathtt{Tr}_{\mathtt{R}}^{\mathtt{S}}(\mathscr{B})^{\perp}$. Thus, $\mathtt{Tr}_{\mathtt{R}}^{\mathtt{S}}(\mathscr{B}) = \mathtt{Res}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathscr{B})$ is equivalent to $\mathtt{Res}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathscr{B}^{\perp}) = \mathtt{Res}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathscr{B})^{\perp}$.

□

4. Cyclotomic partitions

Let ℓ be a positive integer and q a power of a prime number with the property $\mathtt{gcd}(\ell, q) = 1$.

Consider $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$, the ring of integers modulo ℓ and the underlying set $\Sigma_\ell := \{0,1,\cdots,\ell-1\}$ of $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$. Let A be a subset of Σ_ℓ. The set of *multiples* of u in A is $u\mathrm{A} := \{uz \,(\mathtt{mod}\,\ell) : z \in \mathrm{A}\}$. The *$q$-closure* of a subset A of Σ_ℓ, is $\mathtt{C}_q(\mathrm{A}) := \bigcup_{i\in\mathbb{N}} q^i\mathrm{A}$. We remark that $\mathtt{C}_q(\emptyset) = \emptyset$.

Definition 4.1. *Let $z \in \Sigma_\ell$. The q-*cyclotomic coset modulo *ℓ, containing z, denoted $\mathtt{C}_q(z)$, is the Galois closure of $\{z\}$.*

One denotes by $\mathfrak{R}_\ell(q)$ the set of q-closure subsets of Σ_ℓ, and by 2^{Σ_ℓ} the set of subsets of Σ_ℓ. Obviously, the q-cyclotomic cosets modulo ℓ, form a partition of Σ_ℓ. Let $\Sigma_\ell(q)$ be a set of representatives of each q-cyclotomic cosets modulo ℓ.

Proposition 7. [2, Proposition 5.2] *We have $|\Sigma_\ell(q)| = \sum_{d|\ell} \frac{\phi(\ell)}{\mathtt{ord}_\ell(q)}$, where $\phi(.)$ is the Euler function and $\mathtt{ord}_\ell(q) := \mathtt{min}\{i \in \mathbb{N}\setminus\{0\} : q^i \equiv 1 (\mathtt{mod}\,\ell)\}$.*

We introduce the binary and unary operations on Σ_ℓ. These operations are necessary in the following section, for the construction of cyclic linear codes.

Definition 4.2. *Let $z \in \Sigma_\ell$ and A, B be two subsets of Σ_ℓ.*

(1) *The* opposite *of* A *is* $-\mathrm{A} := \{\ell - z : z \in \mathrm{A}\}$.
(2) *The* complementary *of* A *is* $\overline{\mathrm{A}} := \{z \in \Sigma_\ell : z \notin \mathrm{A}\}$.
(3) *The* dual *of* A *is* $\mathrm{A}^{\diamond} := \overline{-\mathrm{A}}$.

Let L be a nonempty set. We recall that the quintuple $\langle \mathrm{L};\vee,\wedge;0,1\rangle$ is a *bounded lattice* if the following identities are satisfied :

(1) for all $x,y\in \mathrm{L}$; $x\vee y=y\vee x$ and $x\wedge y=y\wedge x$;
(2) for all $x,y,z\in \mathrm{L}$; $(x\vee y)\vee z=x\vee(y\vee z)$ and $(x\wedge y)\wedge z=x\wedge(y\wedge z)$;
(3) for every $x\in \mathrm{L}$; $x\wedge x=x$ and $x\vee x=x$;
(4) for every $x\in \mathrm{L}$; $x=x\vee(x\wedge x)$ and $x=x\wedge(x\vee x)$;
(5) for every $x\in \mathrm{L}$, $x\wedge 0=0$ and $x\vee 1=1$.

Moreover, a lattice $\langle \mathrm{L};\vee,\wedge\rangle$ is *distributive* if for all $x,y,z\in \mathrm{L}$, $(x\vee y)\wedge z=(x\wedge z)\vee(y\wedge z)$, and a lattice $\langle \mathrm{L};\vee,\wedge\rangle$ is *modular* if for all $x,y,z\in \mathrm{L}$, $x\wedge(y\vee(x\vee z))=(x\wedge z)\vee(y\wedge z)$. A more general and detailed treatment of the topic can be found in textbooks on Lattices such as [6].

Example 4.1. *The lattice* $\langle 2^{\mathrm{E}};\cup,\cap;\emptyset,\mathrm{E}\rangle$ *is distributive and bounded, where* 2^{E} *is the power set of a set* E. *The lattice* $\left\langle \mathscr{L}_\ell(\mathtt{R});+,\cap;\{\underline{\boldsymbol{0}}\},\mathtt{R}^\ell\right\rangle$ *is modular and bounded, where* $\mathscr{L}_\ell(\mathtt{R})$ *is the set of all* $\mathtt{R}$*-linear codes of length* ℓ.

The relationships among these operations, are given in the following:

Proposition 8. *The lattice* $\langle \mathfrak{R}_\ell(q);\cup,\cap;\emptyset,\Sigma_\ell(q)\rangle$ *is bounded and distributive. Moreover, the map*

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \complement_q: & 2^{\Sigma_\ell} & \to & \mathfrak{R}_\ell(q) \\ & \mathrm{A} & \mapsto & \underset{i\in\mathbb{N}}{\cup} q^i\mathrm{A} \end{array}$$

is a lattices epimorphism with $\complement_q(-\mathrm{A})=-\complement_q(\mathrm{A})$, $\complement_q(\overline{\mathrm{A}})=\overline{\complement_q(\mathrm{A})}$, *and* $\mathrm{A}\subseteq\mathrm{B}$ *implies* $\complement_q(\mathrm{A})\subseteq\complement_q(\mathrm{B})$.

Definition 4.3. *The* $(s+1)$*-tuple* $(\mathrm{A}_0,\mathrm{A}_1,\cdots,\mathrm{A}_s)$ *is an* (q,s)-cyclotomic partition *modulo* ℓ, *if there exists a unique map* $\lambda:\Sigma_\ell(q)\to\{0,1,\cdots,s\}$, *such that* $\mathrm{A}_t=\complement_q\left(\lambda^{-1}(\{t\})\right)$, *for every* $0\le t\le s$.

We denoted by $\mathfrak{R}_\ell(q,s)$ the set of (q,s)-cyclotomic partitions modulo ℓ. It is easy to see that

$$\mathfrak{R}_\ell(q,s):=\left\{(\mathrm{A}_0,\mathrm{A}_1,\cdots,\mathrm{A}_s)\ :\ \left(\exists\lambda\in\{0,1,\cdots,s\}^{\Sigma_\ell(q)}\right)\left(\mathrm{A}_t=\lambda^{-1}(\{t\})\right)\right\}$$

By Definition 4.3, we see that $|\mathfrak{R}_\ell(q,s)|=(s+1)^{|\Sigma_\ell(q)|}$.

Example 4.2. *We take* $\ell=20,q=3$, *and* $s=2$. *The* q*-cyclotomic cosets modulo* ℓ, *are:*

$$\complement_q(\{0\})=\{0\},\complement_q(\{5\})=\{5,15\},\complement_q(\{10\})=\{10\},$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ll} \complement_q(\{1\})=\{1,3,9,7\}; & \complement_q(\{2\})=\{2,6,18,14\}; \\ \complement_q(\{4\})=\{4,12,16,8\}; & \complement_q(\{11\})=\{11,13,19,17\}. \end{array}$$

So $\Sigma_\ell(q)=\{0,1,2,4,5,10,11\}$. *We remark that* $\complement_q(\{-z\})=\complement_q(\{z\})$, *for every* $z\in\{0,2,4,5,10\}$. *We set* $\mathrm{I}:=\{0;1;2;\cdots;10\}$. *We have* $\mathrm{A}:=\complement_q(\mathrm{I})=\complement_q(\{0,1,2,4,5,10\})$, $-\mathrm{A}=\complement_q(\{2,4,5,10,11\})$, *and* $\mathrm{A}^\diamond:=\complement_q(\{1\})$.

We remark that the maps

$$\psi:\ \begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{R}_\ell(q) & \hookrightarrow & \mathfrak{R}_\ell(q,s) \\ \mathrm{A} & \mapsto & (\mathrm{A},\emptyset,\cdots,\emptyset,\overline{\mathrm{A}}) \end{array} \quad\text{and}\quad \varphi:\ \begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{R}_\ell(q,s) & \twoheadrightarrow & \mathfrak{R}_\ell(q) \\ (\mathrm{A}_0,\cdots,\mathrm{A}_s) & \mapsto & \mathrm{A}_0 \end{array}$$

satisfy $\varphi\psi(\mathrm{A})=\mathrm{A}$, and $\psi\varphi(\mathrm{A}_0,\mathrm{A}_1,\cdots,\mathrm{A}_s)=(\mathrm{A}_0,\emptyset,\cdots,\emptyset,\overline{\mathrm{A}_0})$ for every $\mathrm{A}\in\mathfrak{R}_\ell(q)$, for every $(\mathrm{A}_0,\mathrm{A}_1,\cdots,\mathrm{A}_s)\in\mathfrak{R}_\ell(q,s)$.

5. Free Cyclic linear codes over finite chain rings

Let $\mathtt{R}$ be a finite chain ring of invariants (q,s) and ℓ be a positive integer such that $\gcd(q,\ell)=1$. Then there exists a positive integer m such that $q^m\equiv 1(\mathrm{mod}\,\ell)$ and $q^{m-1}\not\equiv 1(\mathrm{mod}\,\ell)$. In this section, we give the trace representation of cyclic $\mathtt{R}$-linear codes of length ℓ.

5.1. **Cyclic polynomial codes over finite chain rings.** Let $\mathtt{S}$ be the Galois extension of $\mathtt{R}$ of degree m and ξ be a generator of $\Gamma(\mathtt{S})\backslash\{0\}$. Let $\mathrm{A} := \{a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_k\}$ be a subset of Σ_ℓ. One denotes by $\mathbf{P}(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A})$, the free $\mathtt{S}$-submodule of $\mathtt{S}[X]$ with free $\mathtt{S}$-basis $\{X^a : a \in \mathrm{A}\}$. Since m is the smallest positive integer with $q^m \equiv 1 (\mathtt{mod}\,\ell)$, we can write $\eta := \xi^{\frac{q^m-1}{\ell}}$ and the multiplicative order of η is ℓ. The evaluation

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \mathbf{ev}_\eta : & \mathbf{P}(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A}) & \to & \mathtt{S}^\ell \\ & f & \mapsto & (f(1), f(\eta), \cdots, f(\eta^{\ell-1})), \end{array}$$

is an $\mathtt{S}$-modules monomorphism. We see that if $\mathrm{A} := \{0, 1, \cdots, k-1\}$, then for any $\ell^{\mathtt{th}}$-primitive root of unity η in $\Gamma(\mathtt{S})$, the $\mathtt{S}$-linear code $\mathbf{ev}_\eta(\mathbf{P}(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A}))$ is a primitive Reed-Solomon code. For this reason, we define cyclic polynomial codes which is a family of codes over large finite chain rings as follows.

Definition 5.1. *Let* A *be a subset of* Σ_ℓ, *and* $\mathtt{S}$ *be a finite chain ring such that* $|\Gamma(\mathtt{S})| \geq \ell$. *Let* $\eta \in \Gamma(\mathtt{S})$ *with the multiplicative order of* η *is* ℓ. *The* cyclic polynomial code *over* $\mathtt{S}$, *with defining set* A, *denoted* $\boldsymbol{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A})$, *is the free* $\mathtt{S}$*-module* $\boldsymbol{ev}_\eta(\boldsymbol{P}(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A}))$.

For every subset set A of Σ_ℓ, and for every positive integer u such that $\mathtt{gcd}(u,\ell) = 1$, we have $\mathbf{L}_{\eta^u}(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A}) = \mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};u\mathrm{A})$ and

$$W_{\mathrm{A}} := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \eta^{a_1} & \cdots & \eta^{(\ell-1)a_1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & \eta^{a_k} & \cdots & \eta^{(\ell-1)a_k} \end{pmatrix} \tag{4}$$

is a generator matrix for $\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A})$. We see that $\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\emptyset) = \{\underline{\mathbf{0}}\}$, $\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\{0\}) = \mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\Sigma_\ell) = \mathtt{S}^\ell$.

Proposition 9. *Let* A *be a subset of* Σ_ℓ. *Then* $\boldsymbol{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A})$ *is cyclic.*

Proof. Consider the codeword $\mathbf{c}_a = \left(1, \eta^a, \cdots, \eta^{a(\ell-1)}\right)$. Then the shift of $\mathbf{c}_a$ is $\eta^{-a}\mathbf{c}_a$. Since $\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A})$ is $\mathtt{S}$-linear, we have $\eta^{-a}\mathbf{c}_a \in \mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A})$. Hence $\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A})$ is cyclic. □

Proposition 10. *Let* A,B *be two subsets of* Σ_ℓ. *The following assertions holds:*

(1) $\boldsymbol{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A})^\perp = \boldsymbol{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A}^\diamond)$;
(2) $\boldsymbol{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A}\cup\mathrm{B}) = \boldsymbol{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A}) + \boldsymbol{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{B})$ *and* $\boldsymbol{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A}\cap\mathrm{B}) = \boldsymbol{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A}) \cap \boldsymbol{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{B})$.

Proof. Let A,B be subsets of Σ_ℓ.

(1) An $\mathtt{S}$-basis of $\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A}^\diamond)$ is $\{\mathbf{c}_a : -a \in \overline{\mathrm{A}}\}$ where $\mathbf{c}_a := (1, \eta^{-a}, \cdots, \eta^{-a(\ell-1)}) \in \mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A}^\diamond)$. Then for all $b \in \mathrm{A}$, $\mathbf{c}_b := (1, \eta^b, \cdots, \eta^{b(\ell-1)}) \in \mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A})$, we have $\mathbf{c}_b\mathbf{c}_a^{\mathtt{T}} = \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} \eta^{(b-a)j}$, where $\mathbf{c}_a^{\mathtt{T}}$ is the transpose of $\mathbf{c}_a$. It is easy to check that $\sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} \eta^{ij} = 0$, when $i \not\equiv 0 (\mathtt{mod}\,\ell)$. Since $0 < b-a < \ell$, we have $\mathbf{c}_b\mathbf{c}_a^{\mathtt{T}} = 0$. So $\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A}^\diamond) \subseteq \mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A})^\perp$. Comparison of cardinality yields $\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A})^\perp = \mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A}^\diamond)$.
(2) We have $\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A}) \subseteq \mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A}\cup\mathrm{B})$, $\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{B}) \subseteq \mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A}\cup\mathrm{B})$. Therefore

$$\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A}) + \mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{B}) \subseteq \mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A}\cup\mathrm{B}) \text{ and } \mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A}) \cap \mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{B}) \subseteq \mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A}\cup\mathrm{B}).$$

Since an $\mathtt{S}$-basis of $\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A}) + \mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{B})$, is $\{\mathbf{c}_a : a \in \mathrm{A}\cup(\mathrm{B}\setminus\mathrm{A})\}$ and an $\mathtt{S}$-basis of $\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A}) \cap \mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{B})$, is $\{\mathbf{c}_a : a \in \mathrm{A}\cap\mathrm{B}\}$. We have the equalities.

□

We set $\mathbf{L}_\ell(\mathtt{S})$ the set of all cyclic polynomial codes of length ℓ, over $\mathtt{S}$. Then the quintuple $\left\langle \mathbf{L}_\ell(\mathtt{S}); +, \cap; \{\underline{\mathbf{0}}\}, \mathtt{S}^\ell \right\rangle$, is a lattice and the map

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};-): & 2^{\Sigma_\ell} & \to & \mathbf{L}_\ell(\mathtt{S}) \\ & \mathrm{A} & \mapsto & \mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A}) \end{array}$$

is a bijective lattices homomorphism. The following result extends [1, Theorem 5] to finite chain rings.

Definition 5.2. *A subset* I *of* Σ_ℓ *is an interval of length* δ *if there exists* $(a,u)\in\Sigma_\ell\times\Sigma_\ell$ *such that* $\mathtt{gcd}(u,\ell)=1$ *and* $\mathrm{I}:=\Big\{ua,\, u(a+1),\cdots,u(a+\delta-1)\Big\}$.

Theorem 6. *If* $\mathrm{A}^\diamond$ *contains an interval of length* δ *then* $\mathtt{wt}\left(\boldsymbol{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A})\right)\geq\delta+1$.

Proof. Let $\mathrm{I}=\Big\{ua,u(a+1),\cdots,u(a+\delta-1)\Big\}$ be an interval containing in $\mathrm{A}^\diamond$, for some integer $u\in\Sigma_\ell$ such that $(u,\ell)=1$. Then $\zeta:=\eta^u$ is also a primitive root of $X^\ell-1$. Suppose that $\mathbf{c}$ is a nonzero codeword of $\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};u^{-1}A)$ with $\mathtt{wt}(\mathbf{c})=\mathtt{wt}(\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};u^{-1}\mathrm{A}))$ and $\mathtt{wt}(\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};u^{-1}\mathrm{A}))=\mathtt{wt}(\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A}))$. Since W_B is a parity-check matrix of $\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};A)$ and $\mathrm{B}:=\mathrm{A}^\diamond$, it follows that $W_\mathrm{B}\mathbf{c}^\mathrm{T}=\underline{\mathbf{0}}$. Assume that $\mathtt{Supp}(\mathbf{c}):=\{j:c_j\neq0\}\subseteq\{j_1,j_2,\cdots,j_\delta\}$. Consider $\mathbf{m}:=(c_{j_1},c_{j_2},\cdots,c_{j_\delta})$ where $c_{j_1},c_{j_2},\cdots,c_{j_\delta}$ are the first δ entries of $\mathbf{c}=(\cdots,0,c_{j_i},0,\cdots,0,c_{j_{i+1}},0,\cdots)$. Thus the equality $W_\mathrm{B}\mathbf{c}^\mathrm{T}=\underline{\mathbf{0}}$ becomes $W\mathbf{m}^\mathrm{T}=\underline{\mathbf{0}}$, where

$$W_{\underline{\delta}}:=\begin{pmatrix}\zeta^{j_1a} & \cdots & \zeta^{j_\delta a}\\ \vdots & & \vdots\\ \zeta^{j_1(a+\delta-1)} & \cdots & \zeta^{j_\delta(a+\delta-1)}\end{pmatrix}.$$

We have $\mathtt{det}(W_{\underline{\delta}})=-\zeta^{\delta\left(\sum\limits_{t=1}^{\delta}j_t\right)}\prod\limits_{1\leq u<w\leq\delta}\left(\zeta^{j_v}-\zeta^{j_w}\right)$ is invertible since $\zeta\in\Gamma(\mathrm{S})\setminus\{0\}$. Therefore $\mathbf{m}=\underline{\mathbf{0}}$ which is a contradiction because $\mathbf{c}\neq\underline{\mathbf{0}}$. Hence $\mathtt{wt}(\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A}))\geq\delta+1$. □

Proposition 11. *Let* $\mathtt{S}$ *be a finite chain ring of invariants* $(2^n,s)$ *and* $\ell:=2^{sn}-1$, $\mathrm{A}:=\{1,2,\cdots,d-1\}$ *where* $d:=2^{sn-1}$. *Then* $\boldsymbol{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A}^\diamond)$ *is MDS and self-orthogonal.*

Proof. We have A is an interval of length $d-1$ and $\mathrm{A}^\diamond:=\mathrm{A}\cup\{0\}$. So We have $\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A})^\perp=\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A}^\diamond)=\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A})\oplus\mathbf{1}$. Thus $\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A}^\diamond)$ is self-orthogonal and $\mathrm{A}^\diamond$ is also an interval of length d. Thanks to BCH-bound of Theorem 6, we see that $\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A}^\diamond)$ is MDS. □

5.2. **Trace representation of free cyclic linear codes.** We consider the trace-evaluation $\mathtt{Tr}_\mathtt{R}^\mathtt{S}\circ\mathbf{ev}_\eta:\mathbf{P}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A})\to\mathtt{R}^\ell$, defined by:

$$\mathtt{Tr}_\mathtt{R}^\mathtt{S}\circ\mathbf{ev}_\eta(\mathbf{b}X^a):=\left(\mathtt{Tr}_\mathtt{R}^\mathtt{S}(\mathbf{b}),\mathtt{Tr}_\mathtt{R}^\mathtt{S}(\mathbf{b}\eta^a),\cdots,\mathtt{Tr}_\mathtt{R}^\mathtt{S}(\mathbf{b}\eta^{a(\ell-1)})\right),$$

for every $a\in\mathrm{A}$ and for every $\mathbf{b}\in\mathtt{R}$. In the sequel, we write: $\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathrm{A}):=\mathtt{Tr}_\mathtt{R}^\mathtt{S}\left(\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A})\right)$, and $\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathrm{A})$ is a free cyclic $\mathtt{R}$-linear code of length ℓ. Let $\mathbf{C}_\ell(\mathtt{R}):=\left\{\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathrm{A}):\mathrm{A}\subseteq\Sigma_\ell\right\}$ be the set of all free cyclic $\mathtt{R}$-linear codes of length ℓ.

Proposition 12. *Let* A,B *be two subsets of* Σ_ℓ. *Then*

(1) $\boldsymbol{L}_\eta\left(\mathtt{S};\mathtt{C}_q(\mathrm{A})\right)$ *is the* σ*-closure of* $\boldsymbol{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A})$ *and* $\boldsymbol{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathrm{A})=\boldsymbol{C}_\eta\left(\mathtt{R};\mathtt{C}_q(\mathrm{A})\right)$;
(2) $\mathtt{rank}_\mathtt{S}(\boldsymbol{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathtt{C}_q(\mathrm{A})))=|\mathtt{C}_q(\mathrm{A})|$;
(3) $\boldsymbol{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathrm{A})^\perp=\boldsymbol{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathrm{A}^\diamond)$;
(4) $\boldsymbol{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathrm{A}\cup\mathrm{B})=\boldsymbol{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathrm{A})+\boldsymbol{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathrm{B})$ *and* $\boldsymbol{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathrm{A}\cap\mathrm{B})=\boldsymbol{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathrm{A})\cap\boldsymbol{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathrm{B})$.

Proof. Let A,B be two subsets of Σ_ℓ.

(1) On the one hand, it is clear that $\sigma(\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A}))=\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};q\mathrm{A})$. So by Proposition 6, we have

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A})}=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};q^i\mathrm{A})=\mathbf{L}_\eta\left(\mathtt{S};\bigcup_{i=0}^{m-1}q^i\mathrm{A}\right).$$

Since $\mathtt{C}_q(\mathrm{A})=\bigcup\limits_{i=0}^{m-1}q^i\mathrm{A}$, we obtain $\widetilde{\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A})}=\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathtt{C}_q(\mathrm{A}))$ and the other hand, from Proposition 6, $\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathrm{A})=\mathtt{Tr}(\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A}))=\mathtt{Tr}(\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathtt{C}_q(\mathrm{A})))=\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathtt{C}_q(\mathrm{A}))$.

(2) Theorem 5(3) yields $\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathrm{A}) = \mathtt{Tr}(\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\complement_q(\mathrm{A}))) = \mathtt{Res}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\complement_q(\mathrm{A})))$. So
$$\mathtt{rank}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathrm{A})) = \mathtt{rank}_{\mathtt{S}}(\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\complement_q(\mathrm{A}))) = |\complement_q(\mathrm{A})|.$$

(3) We have
$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathrm{A})^\perp &= \mathtt{Res}_{\mathtt{R}}\left(\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\complement_q(\mathrm{A}))^\perp\right), \text{by Theorem 5;}\\ &= \mathtt{Res}_{\mathtt{R}}\left(\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\complement_q(\mathrm{A})^\diamond)\right), \text{by Proposition 10;}\\ &= \mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathrm{A}^\diamond).\end{aligned}$$

(4) Thanks to Proposition 10, $\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathrm{A}\cup\mathrm{B}) = \mathtt{Tr}(\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A}\cup\mathrm{B})) = \mathtt{Tr}(\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A})) + \mathtt{Tr}(\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{B}))$. Since $\complement_q(\mathrm{A})\cap\complement_q(\mathrm{B}) = \emptyset$, we have $\mathtt{Tr}(\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{A}))\cap\mathtt{Tr}(\mathbf{L}_\eta(\mathtt{S};\mathrm{B})) = \{\underline{\mathbf{0}}\}$.

□

Theorem 7. *Let ℓ, q be positive integers such that q is a prime power and $\gcd(q,\ell)=1$. Then the lattices $\left\langle \boldsymbol{C}_\ell(\mathtt{R});+,\cap;\{\underline{\mathbf{0}}\},\mathtt{R}^\ell\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle \mathfrak{R}_\ell(q);\cup,\cap;\emptyset,\Sigma_\ell(q)\right\rangle$ are isomorphic.*

Proof. It is obvious that prove that the map $\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};) : \mathfrak{R}_\ell(q) \to \mathbf{C}_\ell(\mathtt{R})$, is a bijective. From Proposition 12, this map is a lattice isomorphism. □

Corollary 2. *Let ℓ, q be positive integers such that q is a prime power and $\gcd(q,\ell)=1$. Then the lattices $\left\langle \mathtt{Cy}(\mathbb{F}_q,\ell);+,\cap;\{\underline{\mathbf{0}}\},\mathbb{F}_q^\ell\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle \boldsymbol{C}_\ell(\mathtt{R});+,\cap;\{\underline{\mathbf{0}}\},\mathtt{R}^\ell\right\rangle$ are isomorphic.*

Proof. For all finite chain rings $\mathtt{R}_1$ and $\mathtt{R}_2$ of invariants (q,s_1) and (q,s_2) respectively. By Theorem 7, lattices $\left\langle \mathbf{C}_\ell(\mathtt{R}_1);+,\cap;\{\underline{\mathbf{0}}\},\mathtt{R}_1^\ell\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle \mathbf{C}_\ell(\mathtt{R}_2);+,\cap;\{\underline{\mathbf{0}}\},\mathtt{R}_2^\ell\right\rangle$ are isomorphic. Since $\mathbf{C}_\ell(\mathbb{F}_q) = \mathtt{Cy}(\mathbb{F}_q,\ell)$, we have the result. □

Lemma 1. *Let $\mathtt{R}$ be a finite chain ring of invariants (q,s) and $\mathtt{S}$ be the Galois extension of $\mathtt{R}$ of degree m. Let $z\in\Sigma_\ell$. Set $\mathtt{S}=\mathtt{R}[\xi]$, $m_z := |\complement_q(z)|$, $\eta := \xi^{\frac{q^m-1}{\ell}}$. and $\zeta := \eta^{-z}$. Then the map*
$$\begin{array}{rccc}\psi_z: & \mathtt{R}[\xi^z] & \longrightarrow & \boldsymbol{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\{z\})\\ & \boldsymbol{a} & \longmapsto & \mathtt{Tr}_{\mathtt{R}}^{\mathtt{S}}\left(\mathrm{ev}_\eta(\boldsymbol{a}X^z)\right)\end{array}$$
is an $\mathtt{R}$-module isomorphism. Further $\psi_z\circ t_\zeta = \tau_1\circ\psi_z$, where τ_1 is the cyclic shift and $t_\zeta(\boldsymbol{a}) = \boldsymbol{a}\zeta$, for all $\boldsymbol{a}\in\mathtt{R}[\eta]$.

Proof. It is clear that $\mathbf{a}\in\mathtt{Ker}(\psi_z)$ if and only if $\mathbf{a}\in\mathtt{R}[\xi^z]^{\perp_{\mathtt{Tr}}}\cap\mathtt{R}[\xi^z]$, where duality $\perp_{\mathtt{Tr}}$ is with respect to trace form. As the trace bilinear form is nondegenerate, we have $\mathtt{S}=\mathtt{R}[\xi^z]^{\perp_{\mathtt{Tr}}}\oplus\mathtt{R}[\xi^z]$ and $\mathtt{Ker}(\psi_z) = \{0_{\mathtt{R}}\}$. Hence ψ_z is an $\mathtt{R}$-module monomorphism. We remark that, $\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\{z\})$ is cyclic, if and only if $\psi_z\circ t_\zeta = \tau_1\circ\psi_z$. Finally, we have $\mathtt{S}=\mathtt{R}[\xi]$, and by Proposition 2, the ring $\mathtt{R}[\xi^z]$ is the Galois extension of $\mathtt{R}$ of degree m_z. Hence, ψ_z is an $\mathtt{R}$-module isomorphism. □

Definition 5.3. *A non trivial cyclic $\mathtt{R}$-linear code $\mathscr{C}$ is said to be* irreducible*, if for all $\mathtt{R}$-linear cyclic subcodes $\mathscr{C}_1$ and $\mathscr{C}_2$ of $\mathscr{C}$, the implication holds: $\mathscr{C} = \mathscr{C}_1\oplus\mathscr{C}_2$, then $\mathscr{C}_1 = \{\underline{\mathbf{0}}\}$ or $\mathscr{C}_2 = \{\underline{\mathbf{0}}\}$.*

Proposition 13. *The irreducible cyclic $\mathtt{R}$-linear codes are precisely $\theta^t\boldsymbol{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\{z\})$s, where $t\in\{0,1,\cdots,s-1\}$ and $z\in\Sigma_\ell(q)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 1, the free cyclic $\mathtt{R}$-linear codes of length ℓ are $\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\{z\}))$ where $z\in\{0,1,\cdots,\ell-1\}$ and all the $\mathtt{R}$-linear cyclic subcodes of each cyclic $\mathtt{R}$-linear code, are irreducible. Let $\mathscr{C}$ be an irreducible cyclic $\mathtt{R}$-linear code. Then $\mathscr{A} := \mathtt{Annih}_{\mathscr{C}}(\theta)$ is also an irreducible cyclic $\mathtt{R}$-linear code and the $\mathtt{R}$-linear code $\mathtt{Quot}_{s-1}(\mathscr{A})$ is cyclic and free. Let $\mathtt{Quot}_{s-1}(\mathscr{A})$ be the free cyclic $\mathtt{R}$-linear code such that $\mathscr{A}\subset\mathtt{Quot}_{s-1}(\mathscr{A})$ and $\mathtt{rank}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathscr{A}) = \mathtt{rank}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathtt{Quot}_{s-1}(\mathscr{A}))$. Assume that $|\mathrm{A}|>1$. Then $\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathrm{A}) = \mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathrm{A}_1)\oplus\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathrm{A}_2)$ where $\mathrm{A}_1\cap\mathrm{A}_2=\emptyset$, $\mathrm{A}_1\neq\emptyset$ and $\mathrm{A}_2\neq\emptyset$. We have $\mathscr{C}\cap\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathrm{A}_1)\neq\{\underline{\mathbf{0}}\}$ and $\mathscr{C}\cap\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathrm{A}_2)\neq\{\underline{\mathbf{0}}\}$. Therefore $\mathscr{C} = (\mathscr{C}\cap\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathrm{A}_1))\oplus(\mathscr{C}\cap\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathrm{A}_2))$. It is impossible, because $\mathscr{C}$ be an irreducible. So $|\mathrm{A}|=1$. Now, $\mathscr{C}\subseteq\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\{z\})$, it follows that $\mathscr{C} = \theta^t\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\{z\})$, for some $t\in\{0,1,\cdots,s-1\}$. □

6. Sum and intersection of cyclic linear codes

Consider the map

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \mathbf{C}_{\ell,\mathtt{R}}: & \mathfrak{R}_\ell(q,s) & \to & \mathtt{Cy}(\mathtt{R},\ell) \\ & (\mathrm{A}_0,\mathrm{A}_1,\cdots,\mathrm{A}_s) & \mapsto & \bigoplus_{t=0}^{s-1}\theta^t\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathrm{A}_t). \end{array} \tag{5}$$

In this section, on the one hand, we show that the map $\mathbf{C}_{\ell,\mathtt{R}}:\mathfrak{R}_\ell(q,s)\to\mathtt{Cy}(\mathtt{R},\ell)$ is bijective and the other hand we equip the set $\mathbf{C}_{\ell,\mathtt{R}}$ of binary operations $\vee$ and $\wedge$ such that $\mathbf{C}_{\ell,\mathtt{R}}:\langle\mathfrak{R}_\ell(q,s);\vee,\wedge\rangle\to\langle\mathtt{Cy}(\mathtt{R},\ell);+,\cap\rangle$ is a lattice homomorphism.

The following theorem gives the number of cyclic codes and free cyclic codes over finite chain rings.

Lemma 2. [2, Theorem 5.1] *Let* $\mathtt{R}$ *be a finite chain ring of invariants* (q,s). *Then the number of cyclic* $\mathtt{R}$*-linear codes of length* ℓ, *is equal to* $(s+1)^{|\Sigma_\ell(q)|}$.

Lemma 3. [6, Corollary 11.] *A finite lattice is distributive if and only if it is isomorphic to* $\langle 2^{\mathrm{E}};\cup,\cap;\emptyset,\mathrm{E}\rangle$, *where* E *is a finite set.*

Lemmas 2 and 3 give the following fact.

Theorem 8. *Let* $\mathtt{R}$ *be a finite chain ring of invariants* (q,s) *and* ℓ *be a nonnegative integer such that* $\mathtt{gcd}(\ell,q)=1$. *Then* $s\neq 1$ *if and only if* $\left\langle \mathtt{Cy}(\mathtt{R},\ell);+,\cap;\{\underline{\boldsymbol{0}}\},\mathtt{R}^\ell\right\rangle$ *is not distributive. Moreover, its sublattice* $\left\langle \boldsymbol{C}_\ell(\mathtt{R});+,\cap;\{\underline{\boldsymbol{0}}\},\mathtt{R}^\ell\right\rangle$ *is distributive.*

We show that each cyclic $\mathtt{R}$-linear code can be written as a direct sum of irreducibles in precisely one way.

Lemma 4. *Let* $\mathtt{R}$ *be a finite chain ring of invariants* (q,s). *Then the map* $\boldsymbol{C}_{\ell,\mathtt{R}}:\mathfrak{R}_\ell(q,s)\to\mathtt{Cy}(\mathtt{R},\ell)$ *is a bijection and the type of* $\boldsymbol{C}_{\ell,\mathtt{R}}(\underline{\mathrm{A}})$ *is* $(|\mathbb{C}_q(\mathrm{A}_0)|,|\mathbb{C}_q(\mathrm{A}_1)|,\cdots,|\mathbb{C}_q(\mathrm{A}_{s-1})|)$, *for some* $\underline{\mathrm{A}}:=(\mathrm{A}_0,\mathrm{A}_1,\cdots,\mathrm{A}_s)\in\mathfrak{R}_\ell(q,s)$.

Proof. Let $\mathscr{C}$ be an cyclic $\mathtt{R}$-linear code of length ℓ. From Proposition 12, we have

$$\mathtt{R}^\ell=\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\Sigma_\ell(q))=\bigoplus_{z\in\Sigma_\ell(q)}\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\{z\})$$

and $\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\{z\})$'s are free irreducible cyclic $\mathtt{R}$-linear codes. It follows that $\mathscr{C}=\bigoplus_{z\in\Sigma_\ell(q)}\mathscr{C}_z$, where $\mathscr{C}_z=\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\{z\})\cap\mathscr{C}$. By Proposition 13, $\mathscr{C}_z=\theta^{t_z}\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\{z\})$, where $t_z\in\{0,1,\cdots,s\}$. Thus $\bigoplus_{z\in\Sigma_\ell(q)}\theta^{t_z}\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\{z\})=\mathbf{C}_{\ell,\mathtt{R}}(\mathrm{A}_0,\mathrm{A}_1,\cdots,\mathrm{A}_s)$, where $\mathrm{A}_t=\{z\in\Sigma_\ell\ :\ t_z=t\}$. Since $|\mathfrak{R}_\ell(q,s)|=(s+1)^{|\Sigma_\ell(q)|}$, by Theorem 2, the uniqueness of $\underline{\mathrm{A}}:=(\mathrm{A}_0,\mathrm{A}_1,\cdots,\mathrm{A}_s)\in\mathfrak{R}_\ell(q,s)$ such that $\mathscr{C}=\mathbf{C}_{\ell,\mathtt{R}}(\mathrm{A})$ is guaranteed. Moreover, for every $t\in\{0,1,\cdots,s-1\}$, the cyclic $\mathtt{R}$-linear code $\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathrm{A}_t)$ is free and $\mathtt{rank}_{\mathtt{R}}(\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathrm{A}_t))=|\mathbb{C}_q(\mathrm{A}_t)|$. Since the direct sum $\bigoplus_{t=0}^{s-1}\theta^t\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathrm{A}_t)$ gives the type of $\mathbf{C}_{\ell,\mathtt{R}}(\underline{\mathrm{A}})$, the type of $\mathbf{C}_{\ell,\mathtt{R}}(\underline{\mathrm{A}})$ is $(k_0,k_1,\cdots,k_{s-1})$, where $k_t:=|\mathbb{C}_q(\mathrm{A}_t)|$, for every $0\leq t<s-1$. □

Definition 6.1. *Let* $\mathscr{C}$ *be a cyclic* $\mathtt{R}$*-linear codes of length* ℓ. *The* defining multiset *of* $\mathscr{C}$ *is the* (q,s)*-cyclotomic partition* $\underline{\mathrm{A}}$ *modulo* ℓ, *such that* $\mathscr{C}=\boldsymbol{C}_{\ell,\mathtt{R}}(\underline{\mathrm{A}})$.

Proposition 14. *Let* $\underline{\mathrm{A}}:=(\mathrm{A}_0,\mathrm{A}_1,\cdots,\mathrm{A}_s)\in\mathfrak{R}_\ell(q,s)$ *and* $t\in\{0,1,\cdots,s-1\}$. *Then* $\boldsymbol{C}_{\ell,\mathtt{R}}(\underline{\mathrm{A}})^\perp=\boldsymbol{C}_{\ell,\mathtt{R}}(\underline{\mathrm{A}}^{\widetilde{\diamond}})$, *where* $\underline{\mathrm{A}}^{\widetilde{\diamond}}:=(-\mathrm{A}_s,-\mathrm{A}_{s-1},\cdots,-\mathrm{A}_1,-\mathrm{A}_0)$.

Proof. Let $\underline{\mathrm{A}}:=(\mathrm{A}_0,\mathrm{A}_1,\cdots,\mathrm{A}_s)\in\mathfrak{R}_\ell(q,s)$. We have $\mathbf{C}_{\ell,\mathtt{R}}(\underline{\mathrm{A}})^\perp\supseteq\bigcap_{u=0}^{s-1}\left(\theta^{s-u}\mathtt{R}^\ell+\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathrm{A}_u^\diamond)\right)$ and

$$\theta^{s-t}\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};-\mathrm{A}_t)\subseteq\bigcap_{u=0}^{s-1}\left(\theta^{s-u}\mathtt{R}^\ell+\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathrm{A}_u^\diamond)\right),$$

for every $t \in \{1,2,\cdots,s\}$. It follows that $\mathbf{C}_{\ell,\mathtt{R}}(-\mathrm{A}_s,-\mathrm{A}_{s-1},\cdots,-\mathrm{A}_1,-\mathrm{A}_0) \subseteq \mathbf{C}_{\ell,\mathtt{R}}(\underline{\mathrm{A}})^{\perp}$. From Proposition 5 and Theorem 9, $\mathbf{C}_{\ell,\mathtt{R}}(-\mathrm{A}_s,-\mathrm{A}_{s-1},\cdots,-\mathrm{A}_1,-\mathrm{A}_0)$ and $\mathbf{C}_{\ell,\mathtt{R}}(\underline{\mathrm{A}})^{\perp}$ have the same type, we have

$$\mathbf{C}_{\ell,\mathtt{R}}(\underline{\mathrm{A}})^{\perp} = \mathbf{C}_{\ell,\mathtt{R}}(-\mathrm{A}_s,-\mathrm{A}_{s-1},\cdots,-\mathrm{A}_1,-\mathrm{A}_0).$$

□

Corollary 3. *Let* $\underline{\mathrm{A}} := (\mathrm{A}_0,\mathrm{A}_1,\cdots,\mathrm{A}_s) \in \mathfrak{R}_\ell(q,s)$. *Then* $\mathbf{C}_{\ell,\mathtt{R}}(\underline{\mathrm{A}})$ *is self-dual if and only if* $\mathrm{A}_t = -\mathrm{A}_{s-t}$, *for every* $t \in \{0,1\cdots,s\}$.

Corollary 4. *Let* $\mathtt{R}$ *be a finite chain ring of invariants* (q,s) *and* s *is an even integer. Then the following are equivalent.*

(1) *there exists a subset* A *of* Σ_ℓ *such that* $\mathrm{A} \neq -\mathrm{A}$;
(2) *the nontrivial self-dual cyclic* $\mathtt{R}$*-linear codes of length* ℓ *exist.*

Proof. Let $\mathtt{R}$ be a finite chain ring of invariants (q,s) and s is an even integer.

1. $\Rightarrow 2.$ **:** Assume that there exists a subset A of Σ_ℓ such that $\complement_q(\mathrm{A}) \neq -\complement_q(\mathrm{A})$. Set $u = \frac{s}{2}$, and $\mathrm{B} := \overline{\mathrm{A} \cup (-\mathrm{A})}$. Consider
$$\mathscr{C} := \mathbf{C}_{\ell,\mathtt{R}}(\cdots,\emptyset,\mathrm{A}_{u-1},\mathrm{A}_u,\mathrm{A}_{u+1},\emptyset,\cdots),$$
where $\mathrm{A}_{u-1} := \complement_q(\mathrm{A})$, $\mathrm{A}_u := \complement_q(\mathrm{B})$ and $\mathrm{A}_{u+1} := -\mathrm{A}_{u-1}$. We have $\mathrm{A}_{s-u+1} = -\mathrm{A}_{u+1}$, $\mathrm{A}_u = -\mathrm{A}_{s-u} = -\mathrm{A}_u$ and $\mathrm{A}_t = -\mathrm{A}_{s-t} = \emptyset$, for every $t \in \{0,1,\cdots,u-2\}$. Thanks to Corollary 3, we can affirm that $\mathscr{C}$ is self-dual.
2. $\Rightarrow 1.$ **:** Now, we assume that $\mathscr{C}$ is self-dual cyclic $\mathtt{R}$-linear codes of length ℓ and every subset A of Σ_ℓ satisfies $\mathrm{A} = -\mathrm{A}$. Set $\mathscr{C} = \mathbf{C}_{\ell,\mathtt{R}}(\mathrm{A}_0,\mathrm{A}_1,\mathrm{A}_2,\cdots,\mathrm{A}_s)$. From Corollary 3, $\mathrm{A}_t = -\mathrm{A}_{s-t}$, for every $t \in \{0,1,\cdots,s\}$. Since $-\mathrm{A}_{s-t} = \mathrm{A}_{s-t}$, we have $\mathrm{A}_t = \mathrm{A}_{s-t} = \emptyset$ for every $t \in \{0,1\cdots,s\} \setminus \{\frac{s}{2}\}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{\frac{s}{2}} = \Sigma_\ell(q)$. Therefore, $\mathscr{C} = \mathbf{C}_{\ell,\mathtt{R}}(\cdots,\emptyset,\Sigma_\ell(q),\emptyset,\cdots) = \theta^{\frac{s}{2}}\mathtt{R}^\ell$, which is the trivial self-dual code.

□

We point out that the number of cyclic self-dual linear codes over finite chain rings has been given in [2]. In order to determine the defining multiset of the sum, and the intersection of $\mathtt{R}$-linear cyclic codes, we extend the binary operation $\cup$ of $\mathfrak{R}_\ell(q)$ to $\mathfrak{R}_\ell(q,s)$ as follows:

Notation 1. *Let* $\underline{\mathrm{A}} := (\mathrm{A}_0,\mathrm{A}_1,\cdots,\mathrm{A}_s)$ *and* $\underline{\mathrm{B}} := (\mathrm{B}_0,\mathrm{B}_1,\cdots,\mathrm{B}_s)$ *be elements of* $\mathfrak{R}_\ell(q,s)$. *Then*

(1) $\underline{\mathrm{A}} \sqcup \underline{\mathrm{B}} := (\mathrm{C}_0,\mathrm{C}_1,\cdots,\mathrm{C}_s)$, *where* $\mathrm{C}_0 := \mathrm{A}_0 \cup \mathrm{B}_0$ *and* $\mathrm{C}_t := (\mathrm{A}_t \cup \mathrm{B}_t) \setminus \left(\bigcup_{u=0}^{t-1} \mathrm{C}_u\right)$, *for every* $0 < t \leq s$.
(2) $\underline{\mathrm{A}} \sqcap \underline{\mathrm{B}} := (\underline{\mathrm{A}}^{\widetilde{\diamond}} \vee \underline{\mathrm{B}}^{\widetilde{\diamond}})^{\widetilde{\diamond}}$.

Theorem 9. *The map (5),* $\boldsymbol{C}_{\ell,\mathtt{R}} : \left\langle \mathfrak{R}_\ell(q,s); \sqcup, \sqcap; \underline{\emptyset}, \underline{\Sigma_\ell(q)} \right\rangle \to \left\langle \mathtt{Cy}(\mathtt{R},\ell); +, \cap; \{\underline{\boldsymbol{0}}\}, \mathtt{R}^\ell \right\rangle$ *is a lattice isomorphism, where* $\underline{\emptyset} := (\emptyset,\cdots,\emptyset,\Sigma_\ell(q))$ *and* $\underline{\Sigma_\ell(q)} := (\Sigma_\ell(q),\emptyset,\cdots,\emptyset)$.

Proof. Let $\underline{\mathrm{A}} := (\mathrm{A}_0,\mathrm{A}_1,\cdots,\mathrm{A}_s) \in \mathfrak{R}_\ell(q,s)$, and $\underline{\mathrm{B}} := (\mathrm{B}_0,\mathrm{B}_1,\cdots,\mathrm{B}_s) \in \mathfrak{R}_\ell(q,s)$. Firstly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{C}_{\ell,\mathtt{R}}(\underline{\mathrm{A}}) + \mathbf{C}_{\ell,\mathtt{R}}(\underline{\mathrm{B}}) &= \sum_{t=0}^{s-1} \theta^t \left(\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathrm{A}_t) + \mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathrm{B}_t)\right), \text{by the associativity of } +, \\
&= \mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};\mathrm{A}_0 \cup \mathrm{B}_0) \oplus \theta\,\mathbf{C}_\eta(\mathtt{R};(\mathrm{A}_1 \cup \mathrm{B}_1) \setminus (\mathrm{A}_0 \cup \mathrm{B}_0)) \oplus \cdots \\
&\quad \cdots \oplus \theta^t \mathbf{C}_\eta\left(\mathtt{R};(\mathrm{A}_t \cup \mathrm{B}_t) \setminus \left(\bigcup_{u=0}^{t-1}(\mathrm{A}_u \cup \mathrm{B}_u)\right)\right) \oplus \cdots \\
&\quad \cdots \oplus \theta^{s-1} \mathbf{C}_\eta\left(\mathtt{R};(\mathrm{A}_{s-1} \cup \mathrm{B}_{s-1}) \setminus \left(\bigcup_{u=0}^{s-2}(\mathrm{A}_u \cup \mathrm{B}_u)\right)\right) \\
&= \mathbf{C}_{\ell,\mathtt{R}}(\underline{\mathrm{A}} \sqcup \underline{\mathrm{B}}).
\end{aligned}$$

From Propositions 4 and 14, we deduce that $\mathbf{C}_{\ell,\mathtt{R}}(\underline{\mathrm{A}}) \cap \mathbf{C}_{\ell,\mathtt{R}}(\underline{\mathrm{B}}) = \mathbf{C}_{\ell,\mathtt{R}}(\underline{\mathrm{A}} \sqcap \underline{\mathrm{B}})$. Finally, by Lemma 4, we have the expected result. □

Proposition 15. *(BCH-bound) Let $\mathscr{C}$ be a cyclic $\mathtt{R}$-linear code of length ℓ and $\underline{\mathrm{A}} := (\mathrm{A}_0, \mathrm{A}_1, \cdots, \mathrm{A}_s)$ be the element of $\mathfrak{R}_\ell(q,s)$ such that $\mathscr{C} := \boldsymbol{C}_{\ell,\mathtt{R}}(\underline{\mathrm{A}})$ and $\mathtt{C}_d\left(\overline{\bigcup_{t=0}^{s-1}(-\mathrm{A}_t)}\right)$ contains an interval of length δ. Then*

$$\mathtt{Annih}_{\mathscr{C}}(\theta) = \boldsymbol{C}_{\ell,\mathtt{R}}\left(\emptyset, \cdots, \emptyset, \bigcup_{t=0}^{s-1}\mathrm{A}_t, \mathrm{A}_s\right) \text{ and } \mathtt{wt}(\mathscr{C}) \geq 1+\delta.$$

Proof. By Theorem 3, we have $\mathtt{Annih}_{\mathscr{C}}(\theta) = \mathbf{C}_{\ell,\mathtt{R}}\left(\emptyset, \cdots, \emptyset, \bigcup_{t=0}^{s-1}\mathrm{A}_t, \mathrm{A}_s\right)$, and $\mathtt{wt}(\mathscr{C}) = \mathtt{wt}(\mathtt{Annih}_{\mathscr{C}}(\theta))$. By Theorem 6, the lower bound on the minimum Hamming distance is obtained. □

Example 6.1. *We again pick $\ell = 7$, $s = 2$ and $p = 2$.*

$\boldsymbol{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}(\mathrm{A}_0, \mathrm{A}_1, \mathrm{A}_2)$	*BHC-bound*	*type :* $(\lvert\mathrm{A}_0\rvert, \lvert\mathrm{A}_1\rvert)$	*Cardinality :* $2^{2\lvert\mathrm{A}_0\rvert+\lvert\mathrm{A}_1\rvert}$
$\mathscr{C}_0 := \boldsymbol{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}(\emptyset, \emptyset, \mathtt{C}_2(\{0,1,3\}))$	0	*(0,0)*	*1*
$\mathscr{C}_{26} := \boldsymbol{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}(\mathtt{C}_2(\{0,1,3\}), \emptyset, \emptyset)$	1	*(7,0)*	2^{14}
$\mathscr{C}_{23} := \boldsymbol{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}(\mathtt{C}_2(\{1,3\}), \mathtt{C}_2(\{0\}), \emptyset)$	1	*(6,0)*	2^{12}
$\mathscr{C}_{22} := \boldsymbol{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}(\mathtt{C}_2(\{0,3\}), \mathtt{C}_2(\{1\}), \emptyset)$	1	*(4,3)*	2^{11}
$\mathscr{C}_{24} := \boldsymbol{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}(\mathtt{C}_2(\{0,1\}), \mathtt{C}_2(\{3\}), \emptyset)$	1	*(4,3)*	2^{11}
$\mathscr{C}_{21} := \boldsymbol{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}(\mathtt{C}_2(\{3\}), \mathtt{C}_2(\{0,1\}), \emptyset)$	1	*(3,4)*	2^{10}
$\mathscr{C}_{20} := \boldsymbol{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}(\mathtt{C}_2(\{1\}), \mathtt{C}_2(\{0,3\}), \emptyset)$	1	*(3,4)*	2^{10}
$\mathscr{C}_{17} := \boldsymbol{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}(\mathtt{C}_2(\{0\}), \mathtt{C}_2(\{1,3\}), \emptyset)$	1	*(1,6)*	2^8
$\mathscr{C}_{14} := \boldsymbol{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}(\emptyset, \mathtt{C}_2(\{0,1,3\}), \emptyset)$	1	*(0,7)*	2^7
$\mathscr{C}_{25} := \boldsymbol{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}(\mathtt{C}_2(\{1,3\}), \emptyset, \mathtt{C}_2(\{0\}))$	2	*(6,0)*	2^{12}
$\mathscr{C}_{19} := \boldsymbol{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}(\mathtt{C}_2(\{1\}), \mathtt{C}_2(\{3\}), \mathtt{C}_2(\{0\}))$	2	*(3,3)*	2^9
$\mathscr{C}_{18} := \boldsymbol{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}(\mathtt{C}_2(\{3\}), \mathtt{C}_2(\{1\}), \mathtt{C}_2(\{0\}))$	2	*(3,3)*	2^9
$\mathscr{C}_9 := \boldsymbol{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}(\emptyset, \mathtt{C}_2(\{1,3\}), \mathtt{C}_2(\{0\}))$	2	*(0,6)*	2^6
$\mathscr{C}_{16} := \boldsymbol{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}(\mathtt{C}_2(\{0,3\}), \emptyset, \mathtt{C}_2(\{1\}))$	3	*(4,0)*	2^8
$\mathscr{C}_{13} := \boldsymbol{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}(\mathtt{C}_2(\{3\}), \mathtt{C}_2(\{0\}), \mathtt{C}_2(\{1\}))$	3	*(3,1)*	2^7
$\mathscr{C}_{12} := \boldsymbol{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}(\mathtt{C}_2(\{1\}), \mathtt{C}_2(\{0\}), \mathtt{C}_2(\{3\}))$	3	*(3,1)*	2^7
$\mathscr{C}_8 := \boldsymbol{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}(\mathtt{C}_2(\{0\}), \mathtt{C}_2(\{1\}), \mathtt{C}_2(\{3\}))$	3	*(1,3)*	2^5
$\mathscr{C}_7 := \boldsymbol{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}(\mathtt{C}_2(\{0\}), \mathtt{C}_2(\{3\}), \mathtt{C}_2(\{1\}))$	3	*(1,3)*	2^5
$\mathscr{C}_5 := \boldsymbol{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}(\emptyset, \mathtt{C}_2(\{0,3\}), \mathtt{C}_2(\{1\}))$	3	*(0,4)*	2^4
$\mathscr{C}_{11} := \boldsymbol{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}(\mathtt{C}_2(\{1\}), \emptyset, \mathtt{C}_2(\{0,3\}))$	4	*(3,0)*	2^6
$\mathscr{C}_{10} := \boldsymbol{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}(\mathtt{C}_2(\{3\}), \emptyset, \mathtt{C}_2(\{0,1\}))$	4	*(3,0)*	2^6
$\mathscr{C}_4 := \boldsymbol{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}(\emptyset, \mathtt{C}_2(\{1\}), \mathtt{C}_2(\{0,3\}))$	4	*(0,3)*	2^3
$\mathscr{C}_3 := \boldsymbol{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}(\emptyset, \mathtt{C}_2(\{3\}), \mathtt{C}_2(\{0,1\}))$	4	*(0,3)*	2^3
$\mathscr{C}_{15} := \boldsymbol{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}(\mathtt{C}_2(\{0,1\}), \emptyset, \mathtt{C}_2(\{3\}))$	4	*(4,0)*	2^8
$\mathscr{C}_6 := \boldsymbol{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}(\emptyset, \mathtt{C}_2(\{0,1\}), \mathtt{C}_2(\{3\}))$	4	*(0,4)*	2^4
$\mathscr{C}_2 := \boldsymbol{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}(\mathtt{C}_2(\{0\}), \emptyset, \mathtt{C}_2(\{1,3\}))$	7	*(1,0)*	2^2
$\mathscr{C}_1 := \boldsymbol{C}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}(\emptyset, \mathtt{C}_2(\{0\}), \mathtt{C}_2(\{1,3\}))$	7	*(0,1)*	2^1

TABLE 1. Cyclic $\mathbb{Z}_4$-linear codes of length 7.

We have $\mathscr{C}_8 + \mathscr{C}_{12} = \mathscr{C}_{15}, \mathscr{C}_{19} + \mathscr{C}_{12} = \mathscr{C}_{20}$, $\mathscr{C}_8^\perp = \mathscr{C}_{19}$ and $\mathscr{C}_{12}^\perp = \mathscr{C}_{12}$. So $\mathscr{C}_8 \cap \mathscr{C}_{12} = (\mathscr{C}_8^\perp + \mathscr{C}_{12}^\perp)^\perp = (\mathscr{C}_{19} + \mathscr{C}_{12})^\perp = \mathscr{C}_{20}^\perp = \mathscr{C}_6$.

REFERENCES

[1] Bierbrauer J., *The Theory of Cyclic Codes and a Generalization to Additive Codes.* Des.Codes.Cryptogr. 25(2): (2002), pp. 189-206.

[2] Batoul A., Guenda K., Guelliver T.A., *On the self-dual cyclic codes over finite chain rings*, Des. Codes Cryptogr. 70(1): (2014), pp. 347-358.

[3] Calderbank A.R., Sloane N.J.A., *Modular and p-adic cyclic codes*, Des. Codes Cryptogr. 6(1): (1995), pp. 21-35.

[4] DeMeyer F., Ingraham E., *Separable Algebras Over Commutative Rings*, Springer, 1971.

[5] H. Dinh, S.R. López-Permouth, *Cyclic and negacyclic codes over finite chain rings*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 50 (8) (2004), pp. 1728-1744.
[6] Grätze G., *Lattices Theory: First Concepts and Distributive Lattices*, Dover Publications, Inc., 2009.
[7] Honold T. and Landjev I., *Linear codes over finite chain rings*, Electron. J. Combinat., vol. 7, no. 1, (2000), pp. R11.
[8] P. Kanwar, S. R. López-Permouth, *Cyclic codes over the interger modulo* p^m , Finite Fields Appl. Vol 3 (1997), pp. 334-352.
[9] Martinez-Moro E., Nicolas A.P.,Rua F.,*On trace codes and Galois invariance over finite commutative chain rings*, Finite Fields Appl. Vol. 22, (2013), pp. 114-121.
[10] McDonald B. R., *Finite Rings with Identity*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1974.
[11] Norton G.H., Sălăgean A., *On the Structure of Linear and Cyclic Codes over a Finite Chain Ring*, AAECC Vol. 10, (2000), pp. 489-506.
[12] Z. Wan, *Cyclic codes over Galois rings*, Alg. Colloq., 6 (1999), pp. 291-304.
[13] F.J. MacWilliams, N.J.A. Sloane, *The Theory of Error-Correcting Codes, North-Holland Math.* Library, vol. 16, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1977.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF YAOUNDÉ 1, CAMEROON
E-mail address: alexfotue@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HIGHER TEACHERS TRAINING COLLEGE OF YAOUNDÉ, UNIVERSITY OF YAOUNDÉ 1, CAMEROON
E-mail address: cmouaha@yahoo.fr